

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ROLAND MA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DENSMORE, *et al.*,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01355-RAJ

EX-PARTE MOTION TO STAY

WHEREAS, Ma v. City of Seattle in case no. 2:19-cv-01764-RSL, the Court had ruled in Dkt. # 27, that criminal no-contact order is not one of the reasons for a Court-appointed counsel and remain the case stay.

WHEREAS, the State court had found no probable cause of Harassment and Giving False Statement to a Public Servant by the undersigned; however, the Court found probable cause of Identity Theft and set a criminal no-contact order, a true and correct copy is hereby attached as Exhibit A. The criminal no-contact order basically covered all defendants in this case. Judge Young has re-affirmed this is a direct, indirect, or 3rd party no contact order, and set no subpoena can be issued as part of the condition of release.

